Date: Sat, 7 May 94 04:30:09 PDT

From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: Bulk

Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #499

To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Sat, 7 May 94 Volume 94 : Issue 499

Today's Topics:

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu> Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu> Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Fri, 6 May 1994 13:36:40 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!torn!news.ccs.queensu.ca!venus!

pas@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: 2 meter lingo publication

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1994May5.191510.27823@cs.brown.edu>, md@maxcy2.maxcy.brown.edu
(Michael P. Deignan) writes:

- |> I'm currently working on a publication entitled "The 2-meter Lingo
- |> Guide: A Handbook For The New Ham". I'm looking for various
- |> statements and their definitions that the new ham can expect to
- |> hear on 2 meters, thus allowing themselves to assimilate easier into
- |> the 2 meter culture. For example:

|>

|> "We'll catch ya later down the coax"
|> "Forty-Rodgoes on that"
|> "We'll pass you our very best of seventy-threes"
|> "[CALLSIGN] For ID"
|> "Don't get me wrong, I really like the code, but... wouldn't you
|> rather hear their voice?"
|>
|> Naturally, be sure to include a translation as to what the term is
|> really supposed to mean, as if you were speaking normal English over
|> the telephone.

Michael: I hate this type of lingo and am generally pleased it is not too common on 2-meters. May I suggest that we discourage it rather than document it. This isn't CB radio!

Peter

Peter A. Stokes	Voice & Voice mail: (6	513) 545-2923
Engineering Applications Support	FAX: (6	513) 548-8104
Canadian Microelectronics Corporation	Net: s	stokes@cmc.ca
Kingston, Ontario, CANADA	Radio: VE3	BZXT @ VE3CDY

Date: Fri, 6 May 94 18:17:00 +0000

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!pipex!ernie.almac.co.uk!almac!

martin.briscoe@network.ucsd.edu Subject: AEA Antenna Analyser

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I wonder if anyone has any experiences of the SWR-121 Antenna Analysers that AEA make? They seem to have just arrived in the UK. Do they make any others covering wider (non-amateur) frequency ranges - would much prefer at work to take one of them up a hill-top rather than a complete sweep generator and spectrum analyser!

| Martin Briscoe | Internet: martin.briscoe@almac.co.uk | | Packet: GM8AOB@GB7AOB.#76.GBR.EU | | FORT WILLIAM | | Inverness-shire | | PH33 6UG | PGP 2.3 Key on request |

- - -

≥ RM 1.3 00964 ≥ Martin Briscoe - Fort William - Inverness-shire - Scotland

Date: 6 May 1994 17:19:13 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!

uxa.cso.uiuc.edu!melisak4@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Finally here (re: callsign delays)

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Here is another data point for those of you still waiting..

Took test: Feb 12

Received license: May 5th

Callsign: N9WUD

And they used the new 610 for my application.

73, de N9WUD Melissia

- -

Date: 6 May 1994 16:32:33 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!convex!news.duke.edu!solaris.cc.vt.edu!

spcuna!news.columbia.edu!news.cs.columbia.edu!news.boxhill.com!hill!

ken@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: FT-530 AM Mode on 800 Band Possible?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Can other owners confirm the following limitations of the AM mode on the FT-530?

VFO Band AM Possible?

Left 2m Yes Left 70cm Yes

Left 800Mhz No (neither AM nor FM. Not tunable)

Right 2m No

```
Right
        800Mhz
                 No (FM Only)
I ran across this limitation of the right-hand VFO when
trying to listen on the 800 Band in AM.
Doesn't appear to be possible.
Anyone else try this?
(Replies cc:'d to ken@boxhill.com appreciated)
73!
Ken Stamm N2TIA
                           BBBB
                                        H H i 11 11
(ken@boxhill.com)
                           В
                               В
                                        H H
                                                 1
                                                     1
BoxHill Systems Corporation BBBB ooo x x HHHH ii
                                                 1
                                                     1
                                                 1
                                                     1
161 Avenue of the Americas
                               BooxHHi
New York, NY 10013
                           BBBB ooo x x H H iii 111 111
Tel: (212)989-HILL (4455)
Fax: (212)989-6817
                      SystemsCorporation
Date: Fri, 6 May 1994 12:41:09 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!torn!nott!cunews!
freenet.carleton.ca!FreeNet.Carleton.CA!ae517@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Licencing cost (was: Canadian Reciprocity)
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu
In a previous article, rthompsn@dgim.doc.ca (Roger Thompson) says:
>
>Roger (VE9RI)
>*********
>Roger J Thompson
>rthompsn@clark.dgim.doc.ca
>System Admin. Industry Canada
>Saint John, N.B.
>**********
         Nice to see all the DOC/Industry Canada people participating in
this newsgroup. I guess it's about time to bring up my pet peeve.
Why is it that we have to pay so much for our station licences every year.
Last year it was $23.00, this year it was $24.00. Where will it end?
Where is all the money going? Is it to pay for your Internet access? <grin>
```

Right

70cm No

Our cousins to the south pay less than \$6.00 U.S. for a license that's good for 10 YEARS!!!!

Couldn't we do something along the same lines up here? Perhaps DOC could charge extra for value-added services, like "vanity" callsigns, address changes, etc., and charge a lower rate for the basic licence.

Just a thought!

de va3rr (YES! a vanity call)
also aa8lu (ya takes what ya gets)
--

Date: 6 May 1994 14:59:12 GMT

From: yar.cs.wisc.edu!jhanson@rsch.wisc.edu

Subject: Licencing cost (was: Canadian Reciprocity)

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Russ Renaud <va3rr@amsat.org> wrote:

>0ur cousins to the south pay less than 6.00 U.S. for a license that's >good for 10 YEARS!!!!

Actually, we don't pay a thing for the license. The \$5.xx goes for testing fees. So, in theory, you can pay that once and have a license for life...

Jason J. Hanson | 1510 Tripp Circle #VI309 | (608) 264-1079
Univ. of Wisconsin | Madison, WI 53706-1294 | Ham: N9LEA (Extra)
-- jhanson@yar.cs.wisc.edu =*++*= n9lea@wd9esu.#scwi.wi.usa.noam --

Date: 6 May 94 14:48:24 GMT

From: yale.edu!noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!BIX.com!hamilton@yale.arpa

Subject: Luck Hurder ... gone: (Why?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I've been following this discussion since it first popped up and several points occur to me.

First, yes, I do agree that ARRL management probably has been advised by counsel not to comment. Basically, the idea here is that they can't be sued for what they don't say.

That does not, however, mean I buy the argument that we should then assume there are important facts we don't know and that if only we

did, then surely we'd concur with the ARRL management action. To me, that sounds a little too much like Nixon's Secret Plan. Let's be frank: these people work for us. That's why we pay dues. We have both a right and duty to see to it that the ARRL is managed well and fairly.

I can only try to judge the evidence I have in front of me. That evidence is that Luck Hurder was a consistently helpful, enthusiastic fellow who worked very hard.

Now, some have suggested that Luck may have been hard to manage, as if this might be the "real" reason he's been fired. Well, again, let me be frank: that's too bad. I don't care. His managers weren't being paid to enjoy life and surround themselves with a bunch of bootlickers. As most good managers will admit, virtually ALL really good employees -- the ones who can make a real positive difference in any organization -- are hard to manage. That's the job. Take it or leave it. If you just can't cope with that simple fact, you should not be a manager.

Ultimately, I don't give a damn how miserable Luck may/may not have made life for his managers. I do care how well the organization performs for all of us who are paying for it. And I do, sadly, suspect that dismissing Luck is not the way to make it work better.

Regards,

Doug Hamilton KD1UJ hamilton@bix.com Ph 508-358-5715 Hamilton Laboratories, 13 Old Farm Road, Wayland, MA 01778-3117, USA

Date: 6 May 1994 08:33:16 -0400

From: spcuna!starcomm.overleaf.com!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net

Subject: This Week on Spectrum 05/07/94

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

This week's Spectrum will feature the world of weather satellites. Our guest is Jeff Kelly. Jeff is an expert at weather satellite imagery. He will tell us how to demodulate and decode those exciting pictures from space. You can be your own meteorologist this week on Spectrum.

On Saturday May 14'th we will take a look at Digital Audio Broadcasting or DAB. This high-tech system of broadcasting is in the wings and should be here near the end of the century. A few systems have been proposed for dab and a standard hasn't been decided as of yet. Our guest will be Ted Schober. Ted has been on the leading edge in the world of DAB and will give us a look into the radio of the future.

```
Spectrum airs live Sunday at 0200 UTC (2200 EST Saturday) on:
  WWCR, 5810 KHz, Nashville, TN (World Wide)
  WIFI, 1460 AM, Philadelphia, PA (Philadelphia Area)
  KHNC, 1360 AM, Denver, CO (Denver Area)
  Omega Radio Network, Galaxy III, X17, 5.8 MHz WIDE audio. (Satellite)
Spectrum is rebroadcast:
  Sunday at 1500 EST, on WIFI, 1460 AM, Philadelphia, PA (Philadelphia Area)
Spectrum, "The Communications Magazine You Read With Your Ears."
Box 722, Holmdel, NJ, 07733-0722, USA
spectrum@overleaf.com, askspectrum@attmail.com, spectrumshow@genie.geis.com
+1 800-787-SPECTRUM, +1 908-671-4209
Date: Sat, 7 May 1994 00:03:45 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!EU.net!uknet!brunel!
news@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: TM5TSM Chunnel opening ham callsign
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu
In article <2qd4h1$96o@cismsun.univ-lyon1.fr>, <elendir@enst.fr> writes:
> Hi !
> Today, the chunnel France-England is finally opened. To commemorate this
> "historic event" (?) the special callsign TM5TSM has been granted for the
> French side, while GOCT will be active in England.
                     ^^ Should be GBOCT
  Both callsigns are valid today and throughout the week-end.
>
>
  Frequencies are as follow:
> CW : 3.520 - 7.020 - 14.020 - 21 - 28.020 - 144.080 - 432.080
  SSB: 3.660 - 7.060 - 14.270 - 21.270 - 28.670 - 144.230 - 432.230
> FM : 145.450 - 433.500
```

> A special quadricromic QSL card bearing the callsign of both stations will

```
> be sent.
> Vince, F1RCS
> ENST - Ecole Nationale Superieure des Telecommunications, Paris, France
73 Nick, G7ENS
-----
Date: Fri, 6 May 1994 11:36:06 GMT
From: gsm001!gsm001.mendelson.com!gsmlrn@uunet.uu.net
Subject: UPS COD FIASCO
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu
David Feldman (dgf@netcom.com) wrote in rec.radio.swap:
: In January I sold several pieces of equipment through r.r.s - several were
: shipped UPS COD. What ensued was a fiasco that I hope you can avoid in the
: future. .....
All from the people that ate 220-222mhz and then decided they didn't want it.
Personaly, I think all hams should avoid UPS.
Geoff.
"I am number six. Others come and others go, but I am always number six."
(From the movie "Eminent Domain".)
Geoffrey S. Mendelson N3OWJ (215) 242-8712 gsm@mendelson.com
Date: 6 May 1994 11:09:53 -0700
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!nic-nac.CSU.net!charnel.ecst.csuchico.edu!psgrain!
news.tek.com!cascade.ens.tek.com!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu
References <H0jnjGG8yDjJ057yn@dorsai.dorsai.org>,
<2q8nhu$640@cascade.ens.tek.com>, <1XKojGG8yzm0057yn@dorsai.dorsai.org>ns
Subject: Re: Spectrum Show 30 Apr 94
Yes, you are right, the line goes "a man hears what he wants to hear and
```

desregards the rest." That much is true. Works both ways I would say.

Having read your article I found it seemed to bounce back and forth and I wasn't sure weather you were flamming the pirate (as you call them micro) broadcasters or weather you were supporting them. Being how the article was meant to be said over the air and not in written form I suppose speach inflection would have made it clear what side you were supporting.

I wasn't aware that the Class D license (I believe that is what it was called) had been elliminated. My old high school used to have our own radio station that I believe was under this type of license. The signal wasn't allowed to be heard over 100 feet or meters from the school grounds as I recall.

As far as this having anything to do with the amateur radio subject of this group, NOT! As far as my only being aware of the what is happening on the amateur band and not shortwave or whatever, SO WHO ARE YOU TO JUDGE! Are you aware of who I am or anyone else for that matter? NO WAY BigSteve.

You say no one has complained about your column but me. Well I suggest you are "disregarding" other peoples comments on this group. I have seen some. Take your non-amateur topics and put them on an appropriate group.

Teri	су,	KI7M			
End	of	Info-Hams	Digest	V94	#499
